DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

MM - 7 1993

In the Matter of)	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
)	
Implementation of the Cable)	MM Docket No. 92-259
Television Consumer Protection and)	
Competition Act of 1992)	
)	·
Broadcast Signal Carriage Issues)	

Comments of Turner Broadcasting System, Inc. on Tribune Broadcasting Company's Petition for Reconsideration and/or Clarification

Turner Broadcasting System, Inc. ("Turner"), which, inter alia, operates WTBS, Atlanta, Georgia, files this short paper to comment on the petition for reconsideration and/or clarification of Tribune Broadcasting Company ("Tribune"). Tribune asks the Commission to clarify that the exception to retransmission consent contained in 47 U.S.C. § 325(b)(2)(D) and implementing it into new 47 C.F.R. § 76.64(b)(2) "applies only to out-of-market retransmission of superstations' signal via satellite." Tribune is right, but there really is no real need for any reconsideration or clarification. There can be no doubt but that the so-called "superstation exception" to retransmission consent only applies to out-of-market situations.

First of all, Section 6 of the Cable Act indicates that all commercial television stations have retransmission consent rights. 47 U.S.C. § 325. If Congress intended superstations to have <u>no</u> retransmission consent rights, it could have done so by limiting the basic grant of rights, instead of creating the limited superstation exception in a separate subsection.

No. of Copies rec'd

¹/INTV has raised the same point in its petition for reconsideration.

No broadcast station is a superstation in the market to which it is licensed. Section 325 expressly states that a superstation should have the meaning given to it in Section 119 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 119(d). It is clear that the copyright definition of superstation in Section 119 applies only to out-of-market secondary transmissions of broadcast stations. Quite simply, WTBS, for example, is not a superstation in Atlanta. And WTBS is, in fact, electing its retransmission consent option in the Atlanta market.

That this understanding is the Commission's as well is confirmed in the **Public Notice** of Questions and Answers published to assist in implementing rate regulation, in which the discussion makes clear that superstations refer to out-of-market carriage of broadcast stations. See Public Notice, dated May 13, 1993, Question and Answer 17.

In short, the Tribune petition is actually superfluous. If the Commission takes any action at all, it should merely confirm the obvious -- that all commercial broadcast stations have retransmission consent rights in the markets to which they are licensed.

	Respectfully submitted
	Bruce D. C. S. O.
······································	*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Rowena Y. Holt, a secretary in the law firm of Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C., hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Comments on Turner Broadcasting System, Inc. on Tribune Broadcasting Company's Petition for Reconsideration and/or Clarification was served, by first-class mail, postage prepaid, on the following:

Robert A. Beizer, Esq.
Sidley & Austin
1722 Eye Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
Attorneys for Tribune Broadcasting Company

James J. Popham, Esq.
Vice President, General Counsel
Association of Independent Television Stations, Inc.
1200 18th Street, N.W.
Suite 502
Washington, DC 20036
Attorneys for Association of Independent Television Stations, Inc.

This 7th day of June, 1993.

Rowena Y. Holt

D17270.1